

Mistley and Manningtree Public Consultation

Comment	Action
Map of positive buildings.	Map of all positive buildings included. This is not an exhaustive account.
Characterful features noted.	This is included within the document, no further action required.
Why are the 1930s(?) villas on the east side of the section of Harwich Road on which n. 64 is located completely exempt .	The area to the east of Harwich Road (Mistley) is predominatly of mid/late twentieth century building stock. The early twentieth century buildings are much altered and unfortunately of little architectural interest. This area is not proposed to be included for this reason - no further action required.
The alteration to the Manningtree boundary should not be permitted. Reffering to Foundry Court	Objection to the removal of Foundry Court. Foundry Court is itself of little/no historic or architectural merit thus its inclusion within the Conservation Area is difficult to justify, thus it is proposed for removal. No further action required.
Include whole of Mistely Estate (Bob Horlock proposal)	This would result in a large area designated as a Conservation Area and this would take in large areas which are of little historic or architectural contribution. It is understood that the Mistley Demesne was large and incuded the area however as it scores low on architectural interest, it should not be brought into the Conservation Area. More appropriately, it would be best suited to designation as a Registered Park and Garden however this too would be challenging. No further action required.
Removal of Trinity Road site (allotments) objected Maps out of date	The submission of additional evidence that the land also known as Trinity Field and lands has shown a historic link to Manningtree and Trinity Chapel. Upon further review, it remains proposed to remove this area from within the Conservation Area. This is due to the analysis of historic maps, which demonstrate that it has remained agrarian land outside the settlement, and therefore, it serves better as an attractive and importatnt part of the Conservation Area's setting . The parcel of land in itself does not contribute to the special historic and architectural interest of the conservation area, but as its setting, it is an important open space and illustrate an important historic relationship, between the settlement and its surrounding agricultural landscape. Maps checked and updated.
Could Lawford also be included in this area	Lawford has its own Conservation Area. Also to combine the Conservation Areas would result in a very large area and would combine the settlements which would not be appropriate to their historic context.
Objection to removal of allotments	See above point on allotments/Trinity fields. Added mention of Trinity Fields on page 87 highlighting them as part of the setting.
Typo page 43 - number 25 should be number 29	Amended.
In section 5.3, typo should read Mistley	Amended.
Page 16, Mill Road? Do you mean Mill Lane?	Amended.
Regarding Area 3 – Marketplace and Mill Lane, on pages 40-41, there is no subsection for Landscaping and Open Spaces. This is in contrast to almost all of the other Character Areas described in the document.	Amended, a short section has been added and formatting changes to allow for additional text
The essence of Mistley is the Quay&Port. Port should be included more.	The port is included within the history and character sections. No further action required.
Area 4 - Colchester Villa check House No.	Checked and numbers are correct.
Check No.25 Colchester Road is actually No.29. P43.	Amended.
Objection to removal of Trinity Gardens/allotment area. There is a historic link.	See above point on allotments/Trinity fields. Added mention of Trinity Fields on page 87 highlighting them as part of the setting.
Traffic Management issues.	No solution proposed through the Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Plan.
Better higher scale maps	The latest map will be sourced.
UPVC a common threat in the CA	Agreed, mentioned in document. No further action required.
Mistley Quay, complaint about harris fencing	This is an ongoing issue - included in opportunities for enhancement (section 4.7).
Include 24 Harwich Road for Area 10 as a positive building.	Added.
More detail needed on justification of Mistley Park boundary	Confirmed approach with William Fuller and updated some of the text in the boundary section as agreed - referred to 'sunken fence', gull pond, gamekeeper buildings and the historic plantation

Note

There were various other responses and comments raised, either in person during the public consultation event, or through the submission of separate reports. These have been reviewed, and many of which have resulted in amendments or wording removed post consultation or details added. Responses to indivial reports have been recorded by the Council, alongside actions taken.